



Statute of the competition for the best work of the SSA at the faculty conference of the Faculty of Medicine UPJŠ

1. The date of the conference is announced annually by the dean after a discussion with the management of UPJŠ FM.
2. The conferences and competitions for the best work are open to research teams of regular students from the UPJŠ FM in Košice. In exceptional cases, with the approval of the respective vice-dean, conferences can be actively attended by graduates up to one year after their graduation.
3. The work supervisor and the head of the department/clinic on which the work was conducted are overseeing the quality of the submitted work.
4. The authors will submit the manuscript of the work to the Department of Scientific-Research Activities at least
5. 10 days before the conference in its entirety, including the list of used literature (based on the previously announced schedule and deadline of submission).
6. The conference is organized by the Organizing Committee, of which is chaired by the Vice-Dean of Scientific-Research Activities and the staff of the Department of Scientific-Research Activities at UPJŠ FM. The Vice-Dean may also include additional members to the committee according to the current needs of the conference.
7. The ranking of submitted and presented works at the faculty conference is evaluated by a commission composed of lecturers of UPJŠ FM, an internal doctoral student, and a student representative. The committee consists of 5-7 members. None of the commission members can be a supervisor of any of the presented works.
8. The Dean of UPJŠ FM appoints the commissions and their chairs based on the proposal of the Vice-Dean for Scientific and Research Activities after a discussion within the management of UPJŠ FM. Individual sections are typically led by doctoral students in a daily form of study (point 9).
9. The evaluation of works by the jury is carried out as follows:
 - a) Each jury member will receive a sheet with the names of presenters, a place for scoring, and a space for notes (sample in the appendix). The works will be alphabetically arranged by the last name of the first author. The jury member will anonymously assign scores for all evaluated areas (specified in 8, b.). The sum of points for all areas will be recorded on the provided sheet, which will be handed over immediately to the jury chairman after the section's conclusion. The chairman of the jury, together with the designated member of the Organizational Committee of the conference, will add up the scores from individual jurors and determine the ranking based on the results - the first place will be awarded to the work with the highest number of points.
 - b) The presentations will be evaluated based on the following criteria:
 - i. Scientific contribution and originality of the work and the applied methodology, statistical processing (ability to formulate and confirm or refute hypotheses, relevance of the addressed issues).
 - ii. Presentation (use of techniques, delivery, clarity of visual documentation).
 - iii. Student's contribution to the work (appropriate inquiry during the discussion).

- iv. Discussion (ability to respond adequately to questions from jury members and the audience).
 - v. Abstract (adherence to abstract writing guidelines, succinctness, accuracy, and spelling).
- c) For each area the work can receive 0-10 points, with 10 being the highest score, and only whole numbers are allowed for evaluation. The maximum total score will be 50 points.
- d) The jury will also have a printed copy of each work presented in the section at their disposal.
10. The jury will determine the ranking of the participants' works based on the predefined rules, with the decisive factor being the number of points obtained. In case of a tie in points, a "ex aequo" ranking is awarded. In each section, the 1st to 3rd places will be determined based on the number of points achieved.
11. If a sufficient number of works is registered, the management of the UPJŠ FM can announce the competition in the following sections:
- a) Section of Theoretical and Preclinical Subjects
 - b) Section of Clinical Subjects
 - c) Section of Dental Medicine
12. The winning works placed 1st to 3rd in each section will be published in full (*in extenso*). Additionally, if possible, abstracts of the other presented works will also be included in the publication (for example, in *Folia Medica Cassoviensia* or possibly on an optical medium).
13. For national, international, and selection rounds or SSA competitions, the works from each section are nominated in the order of their placement in the faculty round. In case the competition in the "Dental Medicine" section is not announced in a given academic year, the highest-ranked work with a dental medicine theme will be nominated for the mentioned competitions.
14. The statute applies from the academic year 2003/2004 and was approved by the management of UPJŠ FM on February 24, 2004.

Competition for the best SSA work at the faculty conference of the UPJŠ FM

section.....

day.....

The judge's sheet

Names of authors	The evaluation area						Comments
	1	2	3	4	5	Total	
1, A,B							
2, C,D							
3, E,F							
4, G,H							
5, I,J							

The evaluation area:

- 1) ***Scientific contribution and originality of the work and the applied methodology, statistical processing*** (ability to formulate and confirm or refute hypotheses, relevance of the addressed issues)
- 2) ***Student's contribution to the work*** (appropriate inquiry during the discussion).
- 3) ***Presentation*** (use of techniques, delivery, clarity of visual documentation).
- 4) ***Discussion*** (ability to respond adequately to questions from jury members and the audience)
- 5) ***Abstract*** (adherence to abstract writing guidelines, succinctness, accuracy, and spelling).